LIST OF TABLES

	Pa	ige Number
Table ES-1	Ranges of Performance Statistics for Evaluated Analysis Methods	
	for GHS, EPA, and EU Classification System	xxxvii
Table 1-1	Summary of Current U.S. Legislation Related to Ocular Health	1-1
Table 1-2	In Vivo Ocular Irritancy Classification Systems	1-4
Table 1-3	Terms and Phrases Used for Online Literature Searches	1-19
Table 2-1	Means and Standard Deviations of Negative Control Test	
	Substances Evaluated With and Without Use of the Test Substance	
	Applicator	2-10
Table 2-2	Comparison of Means and Standard Deviations of Positive Control	
	Test Substances Evaluated With and Without Use of a Test	
	Substance Applicator	2-11
Table 2-3	Means and Standard Deviations of Positive Control Test	
	Substances	2-11
Table 2-4	HET-CAM Scoring System	2-13
Table 2-5	Summary of HET-CAM Score Ranges Used in Irritancy	
	Classification	2-17
Table 2-6	Decision Criteria Used by Gettings and Colleagues to Define Test	
	Substances as Irritants According to the FHSA Classification	
	System	2-18
Table 2-7	Summary of Q-Scores Used in Irritancy Classification	2-18
Table 2-8	Summary of mtc10 Values Used in Irritancy Classification	2-19
Table 2-9	Summary of ITC and IS Combinations Used in Irritancy	
	Classification	2-19
Table 2-10	Summary of S-Scores Used in Irritancy Classification	2-20
Table 3-1	Chemical Classes Tested in the HET-CAM Test Method	3-4
Table 3-2	Product Classes Tested in the HET-CAM Test Method	3-5
Table 4-1	Scale of Weighted Scores for Grading the Severity of Ocular	
	Lesions	
Table 4-2	Test Guidelines for <i>In Vivo</i> Ocular Irritation Test Methods	4-3
Table 4-3	Criteria for Classification of Animals According to the GHS	
	Classification System (Modified from UN 2003)	4-12
Table 4-4	Criteria for Classification of Substances According to the GHS	
	Classification System (Modified from UN 2003)	4-12
Table 4-5	Criteria for Classification of Animals According to the EPA	
	Classification System	4-13
Table 4-6	Criteria for Classification of Substances According to the EU	
	Classification System	4-13
Table 5-1	IS Classification Scheme Used to Classify Substances for	
	Accuracy Analysis	5-4
Table 5-2	Q-Score Classification Scheme Used to Classify Substances for	
	Accuracy Analysis	5-5
Table 5-3	mtc10 Classification Scheme Used to Classify Substances for	
	Accuracy Analysis	5-5

Table 5-4	IS and ITC Classification Scheme Used to Classify Substances for Accuracy Analysis	5-6
Table 5-5	S-Score Classification Scheme Used to Classify Substances for Accuracy Analysis	
Table 6-1	Evaluation of the Performance of the HET-CAM Test Method In Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to the <i>In Vivo</i> Rabbit Eye Test Method, as Defined by the GHS	
Table 6-2	Classification System, by Study Evaluation of the Performance of the HET-CAM Test Method In Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to the <i>In Vivo</i> Rabbit Eye Test Method, as Defined by the GHS	
Table 6-3	Classification System, by HET-CAM Analysis Method	
Table 6-4	Interest, for the GHS Classification System	
Table 6-5	Interest, for the GHS Classification System	6-9
Table 6-6	Classification System	
Table 6-7	Classification System Evaluation of the Performance of the HET-CAM Test Method In Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to the	6-12
Table 6-8	In Vivo Rabbit Eye Test Method, as Defined by the EPA Classification System, by Study	6-14
Table 6-9	Classification System, by HET-CAM Analysis Method	
Table 6-10	Interest, for the EPA Classification System	
Table 6-11	Interest, for the EPA Classification System False Positive and False Negative Rates for the Q-Score Analysis Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the EPA	
Table 6-12	Classification System False Positive and False Negative Rates for the S-Score Analysis Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the EPA	
Table 6-13	Classification System Evaluation of the Performance of the HET-CAM Test Method In Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to the	6-20

	In Vivo Rabbit Eye Test Method, as Defined by the EU	(22
T-1-1- 6 14	Classification System, by Study	6-22
Table 6-14	Evaluation of the Performance of the HET-CAM Test Method In	
	Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to the	
	In Vivo Rabbit Eye Test Method, as Defined by the EU	(24
T 11 6 15	Classification System, by HET-CAM Analysis Method	6-24
Table 6-15	False Positive and False Negative Rates for the IS(A)-10 and	
	IS(A)-100 Analysis Methods, by Chemical Class and Properties of	()(
T 11 (16	Interest, for the EU Classification System	6-26
Table 6-16	False Positive and False Negative Rates for the IS(B)-10 and	
	IS(B)-100 Analysis Methods, by Chemical Class and Properties of	<i>c</i> 27
	,	6-27
Table 6-17	False Positive and False Negative Rates for the Q-Score Analysis	
	Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the EU	
	Classification System	6-28
Table 6-18	False Positive and False Negative Rates for the S-Score Analysis	
	Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the EU	
	Classification System	6-29
Table 6-19	Ranges of Performance Statistics for Evaluated Analysis Methods	
	for GHS, EPA, and EU Classification Systems	6-30
Table 7-1	Intralaboratory Repeatability Results for HET-CAM Studies of	
	Gilleron et al. (1996)	7-4
Table 7-2	Intralaboratory Repeatability Results for HET-CAM Studies of	
	Gilleron et al. (1997)	7-6
Table 7-3	Intralaboratory Reproducibility Results for HET-CAM Studies of	
	Gilleron et al. (1996)	7-8
Table 7-4	Intralaboratory Reproducibility Results for HET-CAM Studies of	
	Gilleron et al. (1997)	7-9
Table 7-5	Evaluation of the Reliability of the HET-CAM Test Method in	
	Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants as Defined by	
	the GHS Classification System, by Study	7-12
Table 7-6	Evaluation of the Reliability of the HET-CAM Test Method in	
14614 / 6	Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants as Defined by	
	the EPA Classification System, by Study	7-16
Table 7-7	Evaluation of the Reliability of the HET-CAM Test Method in	/ 10
Tuble 7 7	Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants as Defined by	
	the EU Classification System, by Study	7-21
Table 7-8	%CV Values for Substances Evaluated at 100% Concentration <i>In</i>	/ - 2 1
1 4010 7-0	Vitro Using the IS(B) Analysis Method (from CEC 1991)	7-26
Table 7-9	%CV Values for Substances Evaluated at 10% Concentration <i>In</i>	/-20
1 au 10 / - 7	Vitro Using the IS(B) Analysis Method (from CEC 1991)	7. 27
Table 7-10		1-41
1 aute /-10	%CV Values for Substances Evaluated Using the Q-Score	7 20
Table 7 11	Analysis Method (from Balls et al. 1995)	1-28
Table 7-11	%CV Values for Substances Evaluated Using the S-Score Analysis Method (from Balls et al. 1995)	7-29
	IVICUIUU HIUIII DAIIS EL AL 17731	1-29

Table 7-12	%CV Values for Substances Evaluated Using IS(B) Analysis	
	Method (from Spielmann et al. 1996)	7-30
Table 7-13	%CV Values for Substances Evaluated Using the IS(A) Analysis	
	Method (from Hagino et al. 1999)	7-34
Table 7-14	Interlaboratory Correlation Coefficients in Balls et al. (1995)	7-35
Table 7-15	Comparison of Means and Standard Deviations for Positive	
	Controls Tested With and Without Test Substance Applicator	7-38
Table 7-16	Intralaboratory Reproducibility Results for Evaluation of	
	Imidazole as a Positive Control	7-39
Table 7-17	Intralaboratory Reproducibility Results for Evaluation of DMF as a	
	Positive Control	7-39
Table 7-18	Means and Standard Deviations of Positive Control Test	
	Substances	7-40
Table 7-19	Comparison of Means and Standard Deviations of 0.9% NaCl With	
	and Without Use of the Test Substance Applicator	7-40
Table 9-1	In Vitro/In Vivo Range of Correlations Reported in Balls et al.	
	(1995)	9-3
Table 9-2	Accuracy Statistics for Test Substances Evaluated in Gilleron et al.	
	(1996)	9-10
Table 9-3	Accuracy Statistics for Test Substances Evaluated in Gilleron et al.	
	(1997)	9-11